	1 2 3	CYNTHIA MELLEMA (State Bar No. 122798) KIMBERLY DE HOPE (State Bar No. 215217) SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 525 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2708	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 525 MARKET STREET, 26" FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000	4	San Francisco, CÁ 94105-2708 Telephone: (415) 882-5000 Facsimile: (415) 882-0300	
	5	Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE	
	6 7	COMPANY	
	8	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
	9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	10		
	11	DONALD SING, BETTY SING, DOES 1	No. C 07-5300 JL
	12	TO 100,	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY'S
	13	Plaintiffs,	NOTICE TO PLAINTIFFS THAT ACTION HAS BEEN REMOVED
	14	VS.	
	15	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant.	
	16	Defendant.	
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	- 1	1	

TO PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(a) and 1446, this action has been removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Copies of the "Notice of Removal of Civil Action" filed in the District Court and the "Notice That Action Has Been Removed" (without exhibits) filed in the Superior Court of California for the County of San Francisco are attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

All further proceedings in this action shall take place before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division.

Dated: October **18**, 2007

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

By KIMPEL LE HOVE IS

CYNTHIA MELLEMA

KIMBERLY DE HOPE

Attorneys for Defendant
ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY

27279611\V-1

EXHIBIT A

OCT. 17. 2007 1:07PM SONNENSCHEIN VATH CYNTHIA MELLEMA (State Bar No. 122798) KIMBERLY DE HOPE (State Bar No. 215217) 1 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 2 525 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2708 3 Telephone: (415) 882-5000 Facsimile: (415) 882-0300 4 Attorneys for Defendant 5 ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF 9 10 DONALD SING, BETTY SING, DOES 1 No. 11 TO 100, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY'S 12 NOTICE OF REMOVAL Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP S25 Market Syneet, 26" Ploor San Francisco, Californa 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000 Plaintiffs, 13 vs. 14 ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA: 20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(a) and 1446, 21 defendant Allstate Insurance Company hereby removes this action to this Court on the ground 22 that this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1332. 23 THE SUPERIOR COURT ACTION 24 On September 6, 2007, an action was commenced in the Superior Court of the State 1. 25 of California for the County of San Francisco, entitled Donald Sing, Betty Sing v. Allstate 26 Insurance Company and Does 1-100," Case No. CGC-07-466924 (the "Superior Court Action"). 27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- In the Superior Court Action, plaintiffs seek damages arising out of an alleged breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and breach of fiduciary duty. (Complaint, ¶ 8.)
- Plaintiffs served their complaint on Allstate's registered agent on September 18, 2007. Allstate has not yet answered the Complaint.
- Attached as Exhibit 1 are true and correct copies of the pleadings in the Superior Court Action with which Allstate has been served, which include the Summons and Complaint.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Allstate is informed and believes that plaintiffs are, and were when they filed the Superior Court Action, residents of the State of California.
- 6. Defendant Allstate is, and was at the time of filing of the Superior Court Action, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal place of business in the city of Northbrook, Illinois.
- "Doe" defendants must be disregarded in determining diversity of citizenship. 7. 28 U.S.C. section 1441(a) ("For purposes of removal under this chapter, the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded.").
 - 8. Accordingly, this action is between citizens of different states.

AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

- 9. Given the several categories of damages sought by plaintiffs, the amount in controversy in the Superior Court Action, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the \$75,000 jurisdictional minimum.
- The complaint was filed in the "Unlimited Jurisdiction" division of the Superior Court, which has a jurisdictional minimum of \$25,000, exclusive of attorneys' fees, interest, or costs. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §§ 85, 86.
- 11. Plaintiffs also seek an undisclosed amount of damages, including interest. (Complaint, ¶ 10.)
- Additionally, plaintiffs seek to recover attorney's fees. (Complaint, ¶ 10.) Attorney's fees reasonably incurred to compel payment of insurance policy benefits are

recoverable as an element of damages under *Brandt v. Superior Court*, 37 Cal. 3d 813 (1985), and therefore must be included in calculating the amount of controversy. *Galt G/S v. JSS Scandinavia*, 142 F.3d 1150, 1155-56 (9th Cir. 1998).

- 13. Plaintiffs' counsel advised counsel for Allstate that plaintiffs' actual damages approximate \$75,000, and plaintiffs seek punitive damages in excess of that amount, and would not stipulate that they seek less than \$75,000. A true and correct copy of a letter from Kimberly De Hope to Randall Choy is attached as Exhibit 2.
- 14. Plaintiffs also seek an undisclosed amount of punitive damages. (Complaint, Exemplary Damages Attachment.) In calculating the amount in controversy, the Court must consider the punitive damages that may be recovered by a plaintiffs if their claim for punitive damages should prevail. *Surber v. Reliance Nat. Indem. Co.*, 110 F. Supp. 2d 1227, 1232 (N.D. Cal. 2000).
- 15. Even under the United States Supreme Court's decision in *State Farm Mut*.

 Automobile Ins. Co. v. Campbell, 123 S. Ct. 1513 (2003), any punitive damages award in this case will likely exceed \$75,000. In Campbell, the court held that punitive-damages awards larger than nine times the amount of compensatory damages would rarely comport with due process, and that ratios larger than 4:1 would be constitutionally suspect. Id. at 1524. Here, plaintiffs have alleged at least \$25,000 in damages. Even under Campbell's stringent limitations, if plaintiffs succeed in proving Allstate's conduct was reprehensible, as they allege, their punitive damage award therefore would almost certainly exceed \$75,000.

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

16. Based on the foregoing, this Court has original jurisdiction over the Superior Court Action under 28 U.S.C. section 1332. Plaintiffs and Allstate are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. This action may therefore be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(a) and 1446.

WHEREFORE, Allstate hereby gives notice that this action has been removed, in its entirety, from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Dated: October 2007 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP KIMBERLY DE HOPE Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY 27279565\V-1 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 525 MARKET STREET, 26Th FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000

Document 3

Filed 10/19/2007

Page 7 of 11

Case 3:07-cv-05300-EMC

Document 3

Filed 10/19/2007

Page 8 of 11

ALLSTATE'S NOTICE TO STATE COURT

Case 3:07-cv-05300-EMC

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 525 Market Street, $26^{\rm nt}$ Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on October 17, 2007, defendant Allstate Insurance Company filed a Notice of Removal of Civil Action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(a) and 1446. A copy of the

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT AND TO PLAINTIFFS AND

"Notice of Removal of Civil Action" (without exhibits) is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1446(d), the filing of the "Notice of Removal of Civil Action" in the United States District Court, followed by the filing of this "Notice That Action Has Been Removed" with this Court, effected removal of this action and this Court may not proceed further unless and until the action is remanded.

Dated: October 8, 2007

THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

By Kimbell le Hive 1str CYNTHIA MELLEMA KIMBERLY DE HOPE

Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY

27279612\V-1

•

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

13

14

15

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 685 Market Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 882-5000

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

,

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Reggie Neil Volstad, hereby declare:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California in the office of a member of the bar of this court whose direction the following service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP, 525 Market Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, California 94105.

On October 18, 2007, I served a copy of

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY'S NOTICE TO PLAINTIFFS THAT ACTION HAS BEEN REMOVED

CIVIL COVER SHEET

ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES

ECF REGISTRATION INFORMATION HANDOUT

STANDING ORDER FOR ALL JUDGES OF NORHTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WELCOME PACKET

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT OF CASE TO A US MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR TRIAL

CONSENT TO PROCEED

DECLINATION TO PROCEED

on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof, on the above date, enclosed in a sealed envelope, following the ordinary business practice of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP, as follows:

Randall Choy Hedani, Choy, Spalding & Salvagione 595 Market Street, Ste. 1100 San Francisco, CA 94105

U.S. MAIL: I am personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, pursuant to which mail placed for collection at designated stations in the ordinary course of business is deposited the same day, proper postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service.

PROOF OF SERVICE

Document 3

Filed 10/19/2007

Page 11 of 11

Case 3:07-cv-05300-EMC